1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 NO. CV 08-6890-E PABLO NAVA ACEVEDO, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION 13 v. 14 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 19 Plaintiff filed a complaint on October 22, 2008, seeking review of an administrative decision. The parties consented to proceed 20 before a United States Magistrate Judge on November 19, 2008. 21 22 In accordance with the "Order," filed October 23, 2008, 23 24 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment or remand was due thirty days 25 after the filing of Defendant's Answer. Defendant's Answer was filed on February 2, 2009, but Plaintiff failed to file a motion for 26 summary judgment or remand within thirty days thereafter. 27 28 ///

By Minute Order dated May 20, 2009, the Court observed that Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment or remand was overdue. The same Minute Order required Plaintiff to file a motion for summary judgment or remand within thirty days of May 20, 2009. The Court cautioned that "[f]ailure timely to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute." Nevertheless, Plaintiff again failed to file a timely motion for summary judgment or remand. Therefore, this action is dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with this Court's prior orders. See Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1952) (court has inherent power to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases by dismissing actions for failure to prosecute); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L.R. 41-1. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. July 1, 2009. DATED: /S/ CHARLES F. EICK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE